

Loveland, CO 80537-0599

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 1701 70030419-1 06/23/2003 Yee Loong Chin 10/602,374 10/23/2006 **EXAMINER** 7590 AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC. ERDEM, FAZLI Legal Department, DL429 PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT Intellectual Property Administration P.O. Box 7599 2826

DATE MAILED: 10/23/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

• •		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/602,374	CHIN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Fazli Erdem	2826
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDONI	N. imely filed in the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		•
 1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 August 2006. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 		
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 4,8-16,19 and 20 is/are pending in th 4a) Of the above claim(s) 19 and 20 is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 4 and 8-16 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	drawn from consideration.	
Application Papers	·	·
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So tion is required if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 		
Attachment(s) 1) . Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) . Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) . Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	. 4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date

Application/Control Number: 10/602,374

Art Unit: 2826

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claim 4 and 8-16 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chen (6,610,598) in view of Tamai et al. (6,552,368).

Regarding Claim 4 and 8-16, Chen discloses surface-mounted devices of light emitting diodes with small lens where in Figs. 6 and 7 an optical source comprising an optical emitter 3, an encapsulant 5 covering the optical emitter, a diffractive element on top (6A in Fig. 7) integrated into the encapsulant where the encapsulant passes light from the optical emitter to the diffractive element and where the optical emitter is positioned on substrate 1. Chen fails to disclose required predesignated pattern diffraction and the heatsink. However, Tamai et al. disclose a light emission device where in Fig. 1, predetermined diffraction with the help of diffractive element 5 is disclosed. Furthermore, upper portion of the frame along with diffractive element acts as the heatsink for the light emitter.

It would have been obvious to one of having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the required predesignated light diffraction and

conductive heatsink in Chen as taught by Tanai et al. in order to have a light emitting device with flexible light output and with better power management.

Regarding Claim 9 and 13, optical emitter of Chen is an LED with conductive leads as shown in Fig 6.

Regarding Claims 10 and 12, as shown in Chen Fig. 6, encapsulant covers the light emitting device.

Regarding Claim 11, Figs. 14, 15 and 17 of Chen discloses the grating type of diffractive element.

Regarding Claim 16, Fig. 1 of Tanaka et al. disclose the heatsink 11.

Examiner considers the portions "encapsulant intercepts and passes light from the optical emitter tot he diffractive element » and « diffractive element diffracts the light to form a predesignated optical radiation pattern" in claim 4 and "diffractive element "diffracting the optical signal to form a predesignated optical radiation pattern" in claim 8 to be product-by-process type. Furthermore, since end product of Chen and Tanaka is capable of doing what's described above, no patentable weight is given to those portions.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fazli Erdem whose telephone number is (571) 272-1914. The examiner can normally be reached on M - F 8:00 - 5:00.

Application/Control Number: 10/602,374

Art Unit: 2826

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nathan Flynn can be reached on (571) 272-1915. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

FE October 13, 2006

LEONARDO ANDUJAH